

ON THE RANK OF ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

AMÍLCAR PACHECO

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{C} be a smooth projective curve defined over a number field k , $A/k(\mathcal{C})$ an abelian variety and (τ, B) the $k(\mathcal{C})/k$ -trace of A . We estimate how the rank of $A(k(\mathcal{C}))/\tau B(k)$ varies when we take a finite geometrically abelian cover $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined over k .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{C} be a smooth projective irreducible curve defined over a number field k with function field $K := k(\mathcal{C})$. Let A/K be a non isotrivial abelian variety of dimension d and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a proper flat morphism defined over k from a smooth projective irreducible variety \mathcal{A} also defined over k whose generic fiber is A/K . Thus, all smooth fibers of ϕ will also be abelian varieties. Let (τ, B) be the K/k -trace of A . A theorem due to Lang and Néron states that $A(K)/\tau B(k)$ and $A(\bar{k}(\mathcal{C}))/\tau B(\bar{k})$ are finitely generated abelian groups. Let g be the genus of \mathcal{C} , \mathfrak{F}_A the conductor divisor of A on \mathcal{C} and f_A its degree.

Ogg gave an upper bound for the rank of the latter group in the geometric case (cf. [14, VI, p.19] and also [13, Theorem 2]), i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(\bar{k}(\mathcal{C}))}{\tau B(\bar{k})} \right) \leq 2d(2g - 2) + f_A + 4 \dim(B).$$

In particular, this is also an upper bound for the rank of $A(K)/\tau B(k)$.

In the case where $A = E$ is an elliptic curve, (1.1) reduces to

$$(1.2) \quad \text{rank}(E(\bar{k}(\mathcal{C}))) \leq 4g - 4 + f_E,$$

which is a result due to Shioda [23, Corollary 2].

We consider a finite cover $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined over k which is geometrically abelian with geometric automorphism group $\mathcal{G} := \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{C})$. Let g' be the genus of \mathcal{C}' , $K' := k(\mathcal{C}')$ and $A' := A \times_K K'$. Let $f_{A'}$ be the degree of the conductor $\mathfrak{F}_{A'}$ of A' . Let (τ', B') be the K'/k -trace of A . By (1.1) we have the following upper

Date: August 29, 2005.

This work was partially supported by CNPq research grant 304424/2003-0, Pronex 41.96.0830.00 and CNPq Edital Universal 470099/2003-8. I would like to thank Douglas Ulmer for comments on how to treat the case of arbitrary ramification, but the conductor prime to the ramification locus, in the case of elliptic fibrations. I would also like to thank Marc Hindry for comments on the inequality comparing the conductors of A and A' . Finally, I also thank the referee for his comments and criticisms.

bound for the rank of $A(K')/\tau'B'(k)$:

$$(1.3) \quad \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau'B'(k)} \right) \leq \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(\bar{k}(C'))}{\tau'B'(\bar{k})} \right) \\ \leq 2d(2g' - 2) + f_{A'} + 4 \dim(B').$$

Our goal in this paper is to give an upper bound for the rank of $A(K')/\tau'B'(k)$. This upper bound improves (1.3) in the case where A is a Jacobian variety and π is unramified. The latter upper bound is an extension of Silverman's result [25, Theorem 13] obtained in the context of elliptic curves. In order to state our main result, as well as his, we need to recall Tate's conjecture for divisors on smooth projective algebraic varieties \mathcal{X} defined over number fields k .

Let l be a perfect field and \bar{l} an algebraic closure of l . For a smooth projective irreducible algebraic variety \mathcal{X} defined over l we denote by $H^i(\mathcal{X})$ its i -th étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{X} \times_l \bar{l}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Let \mathcal{O}_k be the ring of integers of k and \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_k . Let $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_k$ be a Frobenius automorphism corresponding to \mathfrak{p} and $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset G_k$ the inertia group of \mathfrak{p} (well defined up to conjugation). Define the L -function

$$L_2(\mathcal{X}/k, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det(1 - \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} q_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} | H^2(\mathcal{X})^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{-1}.$$

Let $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ be the Picard group of \mathcal{X} , $\text{Pic}^0(\mathcal{X})$ the subgroup of divisors algebraically equivalent to zero, $\text{NS}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})/\text{Pic}^0(\mathcal{X})$ the Néron-Severi group of \mathcal{X} and $\text{NS}(\mathcal{X}/k)$ the subgroup of divisor classes of $\text{NS}(\mathcal{X})$ which are defined over k . The group $\text{NS}(\mathcal{X})$ is finitely generated, hence the same holds for $\text{NS}(\mathcal{X}/k)$.

Conjecture 1.1. (*Tate's conjecture*, [26, Conjecture 2]) $L_2(\mathcal{X}/k, s)$ has a pole at $s = 2$ of order $\text{rank}(\text{NS}(\mathcal{X}/k))$.

Remark 1.2. (1) This is a special case of Tate's conjecture which concerns algebraic varieties and algebraic cycles.

(2) We do not need to use the hypothesis of the existence of a meromorphic continuation of $L_2(\mathcal{X}/k, s)$ to $\Re(s) = 2$ interpreting the sentence " $L_2(\mathcal{X}/k, s)$ has a pole of order t in $s = 2$ " meaning

$$\lim_{\Re(s) > 2, s \rightarrow 2} (s - 2)^t L_2(\mathcal{X}/k, s) = \alpha \neq 0.$$

Moreover, if $f(s)$ is a holomorphic function in $\Re(s) > \lambda$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \lambda} (s - \lambda)f(s) = \alpha \neq 0$, we will call α the residue of the function $f(s)$ in $s = \lambda$ and we will write $\text{Res}_{s=\lambda}(f(s)) = \alpha$.

The Galois group $G_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts naturally on \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of G_k -orbits of \mathcal{G} with respect to this action.

Let $\Delta \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the discriminant locus of ϕ , i.e., the set of $y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the fiber $\phi^{-1}(y) = \mathcal{A}_y$ is not smooth. Let $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{C} - \Delta$ be the smooth locus of ϕ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}} := \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Then ϕ induces a proper smooth morphism $\phi^{\text{sm}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ whose fibers are abelian varieties. Let $\mathcal{F}_a := R^1(\phi^{\text{sm}})_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{F}_b := R^2(\phi^{\text{sm}})_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. These are smooth étale sheaves on \mathcal{U} of pure weights 1 and 2, respectively. Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric generic point of \mathcal{U} and $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}, \bar{\eta})$ the algebraic fundamental group of \mathcal{U} with respect to $\bar{\eta}$. The stalks $(\mathcal{F}_a)_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_b)_{\bar{\eta}}$ of \mathcal{F}_a and \mathcal{F}_b at $\bar{\eta}$ are respectively isomorphic to $H^1(A)$ and $H^2(A)$. We denote by $\rho_a : \pi_1(\mathcal{U}, \bar{\eta}) \rightarrow \text{GL}((\mathcal{F}_a)_{\bar{\eta}})$ and $\rho_b : \pi_1(\mathcal{U}, \bar{\eta}) \rightarrow$

$\mathrm{GL}((\mathcal{F}_b)_{\overline{\eta}})$ the monodromy representations associated to \mathcal{F}_a , respectively \mathcal{F}_b . Let $\mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}'$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose Tate's conjecture is true for \mathcal{A}'/k and that the monodromy representations ρ_a and ρ_b are irreducible. Then*

$$(1.4) \quad \mathrm{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \leq \frac{\#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})}{\#\mathcal{G}} (d(2d+1)(2g'-2)) + \#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G}) 2df_A.$$

Remark 1.4. We will see in Remark 5.1 that when A is a Jacobian variety then (1.4) can be improved to

$$(1.5) \quad \mathrm{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \leq \frac{\#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})}{\#\mathcal{G}} 2d(2g'-2) + \#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G}) f_A,$$

Moreover, the hypothesis that ρ_b is irreducible is not necessary in this case.

Remark 1.5. If A is an elliptic curve, then ρ_a is automatically irreducible [4, Lemme 3.5.5].

The following corollary is then a consequence of Theorem 1.3 and Remarks 1.4 and 1.5.

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose that A is an elliptic curve and Tate's conjecture is true for \mathcal{A}'/k . Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \mathrm{rank}(A(K')) \leq \frac{\#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})}{\#\mathcal{G}} (4g' - 4) + \#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G}) f_A.$$

Remark 1.7. We need the hypothesis that both ρ_a and ρ_b are irreducible in order to improve the trivial bound on the absolute values of the average traces of Frobenius (cf. Remark 3.1 and Section 3). There are proper flat morphisms $\psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of relative dimension 1, where \mathcal{X} is a smooth projective irreducible surface, whose monodromy representations ρ_a are irreducible (cf. [7] and [11, Théorème 2.3 and 2.4]). So, if $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the associated Jacobian fibration, i.e., a proper flat morphism whose generic fiber is the Jacobian variety of the generic fiber of ψ , then the same holds for the monodromy representation denoted also by ρ_a associated to ϕ .

This improvement of the trivial bound as well as the use of the quite general Theorem 2.1 are the main points in generalizing Silverman's result [25, Theorem 13] to the context of abelian varieties.

Remark 1.8. One might naturally ask whether this theorem is true for covers which are geometrically Galois. For a more detailed discussion why we cannot expect to do so under the method used in this paper to estimate the rank of the abelian variety see Remark 4.4.

It will be shown in Proposition 3.7 that $f_{\mathcal{A}'} \leq \#\mathcal{G} f_A$ and that equality holds when π is unramified. In this circumstance we rewrite (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) respectively

as

$$(1.7) \quad \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \leq \frac{\#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})}{\#\mathcal{G}} (d(2d+1)(2g'-2) + 2df_{A'}),$$

$$(1.8) \quad \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \leq \frac{\#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})}{\#\mathcal{G}} (2d(2g'-2) + f_{A'}) \text{ and}$$

$$(1.9) \quad \text{rank}(A(K')) \leq \frac{\#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(\mathcal{G})}{\#\mathcal{G}} (4g' - 4 + f_{A'}).$$

Observe that (1.9) is Silverman's result [25, Theorem 13].

In the case where π is unramified and A is a Jacobian variety, as it happens in the case of elliptic curves, the new bound (1.8) improves the geometric bound (1.3), if the action of G_k on \mathcal{G} is non trivial. Observe that (1.4) does not improve (1.3) in general for an abelian variety (even if G_k acts non trivially on \mathcal{G}).

Next we consider the problem of the variation of the rank of $A(K')/\tau' B'(k)$ along towers of function fields over K . More precisely, we treat the following situation: \mathcal{C} is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$ and $\pi = [n] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the multiplication by n map. In this case, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C}[n]$ is the subgroup of n -torsion points of \mathcal{C} . Denote $\mathcal{C}_n := \mathcal{C}/[n]$, $K_n := k(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and (τ_n, B_n) the K_n/k -trace of A . The rank of $A(K_n)/\tau_n B_n(k)$ as $n \geq 1$ varies grows slower than the geometric bound (cf. Theorem 6.2). This result is the exact analogue in the current situation of [25, Theorem 16]. The reason why we consider this situation is that Serre's open image theorem [16, Théorème 3'] implies that the action of G_k on \mathcal{G} is highly non trivial as n grows. See also Theorem 6.5 for a discussion of the same problem in the case where \mathcal{C} has genus at least 2 and where \mathcal{C}' is the pull-back of \mathcal{C} under the multiplication by n map $[n] : J_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the Jacobian variety $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} . In this case, $\mathcal{G} = J_{\mathcal{C}}[n]$ (the n -torsion subgroup of $J_{\mathcal{C}}$).

In §2 we describe the connection between Tate's conjecture and a conjecture related to the generalized analytic Nagao's conjecture. In §3 we use local monodromy representations to give an upper bound for the absolute values of the average traces of Frobenius in terms of the degree of the conductor of A . In §4 we analyze how these upper bounds vary when we extend the base \mathcal{C} by a finite geometrically abelian cover $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined over k . In §5 we prove Theorem 1.3. In §6 we give applications of Theorem 1.3 to the rank of abelian varieties along towers of function fields over number fields.

2. TATE'S CONJECTURE AND A CONJECTURE RELATED TO THE GENERALIZED NAGAO'S CONJECTURE

Given a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_k and a smooth projective irreducible algebraic variety \mathcal{Z} defined over k , we will denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} .

Let $\psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a proper flat morphism of relative dimension d of smooth projective connected algebraic varieties \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} defined as well as ψ over k . Suppose that the generic fiber of ψ is geometrically irreducible. Denote $m := \dim(\mathcal{Y})$.

Let S be a finite set of prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_k (which will be enlarged as needed). First we assume that for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}$) is a smooth projective connected variety over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of \mathfrak{p} of cardinality $q_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

For each $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y} := \psi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(y)$ be the fiber of $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at y . Let $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the topological generator of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Denote also by $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its induced automorphism on $H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$.

For every $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, let $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) := \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}))$ (respectively $b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) := \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | H^2(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}))$). The *average traces of Frobenius* are defined by

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}) := \frac{1}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^m} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}), \text{ respectively } \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}) := \frac{1}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^m} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}).$$

Let $X/k(\mathcal{Y})$ be the generic fiber of ψ , P_X its Picard variety and (τ_X, Q_X) the $k(\mathcal{Y})/k$ -trace of $P_X/k(\mathcal{Y})$. Let $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q_X) := \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} | H^1(Q_X)^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}})$. By base change (cf. [12] or [5, Appendix C]) this number equals $\text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | H^1(Q_{X,\mathfrak{p}}))$. The *reduced average trace of Frobenius* is defined by

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*(\mathcal{X}) := \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}) - a_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q_X).$$

Theorem 2.1. [6, Théorème 1.2] *Tate's Conjecture 1.1 for \mathcal{X}/k and \mathcal{Y}/k implies Conjecture M_{an} :*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} -\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*(\mathcal{X}) \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) + \text{Res}_{s=2} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}) \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) \\ = \text{rank} \left(\frac{P_X(k(\mathcal{Y}))}{\tau_X Q_X(k)} \right) + \text{rank}(\text{NS}(P_X/k(\mathcal{Y}))). \end{aligned}$$

In the particular case where the relative dimension d of ψ is equal to 1, the Conjecture M_{an} reduces to the generalized analytic Nagao conjecture [6, Remarque 1.4]

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} -\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*(\mathcal{X}) \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) = \text{rank} \left(\frac{P_X(k(\mathcal{Y}))}{\tau_X Q_X(k)} \right)$$

In the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3, Tate's conjecture is trivially true for the curve \mathcal{C}/k . So, we need only to assume its truth for \mathcal{A}'/k .

3. ESTIMATES OF AVERAGE SUMS

Remark 3.1. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the discriminant locus of the reduction $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of ϕ modulo \mathfrak{p} for $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the smooth locus of $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that after enlarging S , if necessary, we may assume that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is obtained from Δ by reducing modulo \mathfrak{p} .

It follows from Weil's theorem that for every $y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ all eigenvalues of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acting on $H^1(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$ (respectively $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$) have absolute value $\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ (respectively $q_{\mathfrak{p}}$), thus $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) = O(\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ (respectively $b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) = O(q_{\mathfrak{p}})$). For $y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ it is a result due to Deligne [4, Théorème 3.3.1] that all the eigenvalues of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acting on $H^1(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$ (respectively $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$) have absolute value at most $\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ (respectively $q_{\mathfrak{p}}$). So once again $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) = O(\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ (respectively $b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) = O(q_{\mathfrak{p}})$). As a consequence, we have the trivial bound $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}) = O(\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ (respectively $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}) = O(q_{\mathfrak{p}})$). Our goal in this paragraph is to use local monodromy representations to improve these estimates. The bounds obtained improve even the ones coming from Deligne's equidistribution theorem (cf. [4, Théorème 3.5.3] or [8, (3.6.3)]) which already improved the trivial bound (cf. Remark 3.6).

The map $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ restricts to a smooth proper morphism $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let ℓ be a prime number different from the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}} := R^1(\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}})_! \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}} := R^2(\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}})_! \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ be smooth étale sheaves on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of pure weights 1 and 2, respectively. Let $\bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the geometric generic point of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the generic fiber of $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As a consequence of proper base change [12, VI, Corollary 2.7], $(\mathcal{F}_a)_{\bar{\eta}} \cong H^1(A) \cong H^1(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}})_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ (resp. $(\mathcal{F}_b)_{\bar{\eta}} \cong H^2(A) \cong H^2(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}})_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$). The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\mathcal{U}, \bar{\eta}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_a} & \text{GL}((\mathcal{F}_a)_{\bar{\eta}}) \\ \downarrow & & \vdots \\ \pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{a,\mathfrak{p}}} & \text{GL}((\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}})_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \end{array}$$

can be completed with a vertical downward arrow in the right hand side by using the former proper base change isomorphism. In this sense, we view $\rho_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ as the reduction of ρ_a modulo \mathfrak{p} . The same applies to ρ_b and $\rho_{b,\mathfrak{p}}$.

Hypothesis. *We assume throughout this section that the representations ρ_a and ρ_b are irreducible.*

Consequently, by construction, $\rho_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\rho_{b,\mathfrak{p}}$ are also irreducible.

Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}).$$

Observe that if $y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ then y is identified with the map $y : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which induces a homomorphism $\pi_1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \cong G_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and the image by this map of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is well defined up to conjugacy. The corresponding conjugacy class is denoted by $F_{\mathfrak{p},y}$. Note also that $y^* \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}} \cong H^1(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$ and $y^* \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}} \cong H^2(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$. Let $\text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y} | \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) := \text{Tr}(\rho_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y}))$ and $\text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y} | \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) := \text{Tr}(\rho_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y}))$. It is tautologous that $\text{Tr}(\rho_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y})) = \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | y^* \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$ and $\text{Tr}(\rho_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y})) = \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | y^* \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) = b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$. In particular,

$$q_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y} | \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ and } q_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y} | \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Recall that A/K is non-isotrivial, hence $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ the discriminant locus $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equal to the reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} of the discriminant locus $\Delta = \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{U}$ of ϕ . Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As a consequence $H_c^0(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $H_c^0(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Furthermore, since $\rho_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\rho_{b,\mathfrak{p}}$ are irreducible, it follows that $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \bar{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has no coinvariants, consequently, $H_c^2(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $H_c^2(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Now, by Grothendieck-Lefschetz formula [12, VI, Theorem 13.4],

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y} | \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) &= -\text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}})) \text{ and} \\ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} \text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p},y} | \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) &= -\text{Tr}(F_{\mathfrak{p}} | H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}})). \end{aligned}$$

The Tate twists $\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(1)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}$ are lisse étale sheaves of pure weight 0 on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence, by [4, Théorème 3.3.1], the sheaves $H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2))$ and $H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(1))$ are lisse étale sheaves of mixed weight

at most 1 over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Denote by $H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}$, respectively $H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}$, the part of $H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2))$, respectively $H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))$, of weight at most 0. By (3.1) and Deligne's theorem [4, Théorème 3.3.1],

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} \text{Tr}(F_{p,y} | \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2)) \right| \leq O(1) + \text{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}) \sqrt{q_p},$$

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} \text{Tr}(F_{p,y} | \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1)) \right| \leq O(1) + \text{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}) \sqrt{q_p}.$$

Since, for every $y \in \mathcal{U}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we have $\text{Tr}(F_{p,y}, \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2)) = (1/\sqrt{q_p})a_p(\mathcal{A}_{p,y})$ and $\text{Tr}(F_{p,y}, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1)) = (1/q_p)b_p(\mathcal{A}_{p,y})$, the latter inequalities are equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad |\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A})| \leq O(1/\sqrt{q_p}) + \text{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}) \text{ and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad |\mathfrak{B}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A})| \leq O(1) + \text{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}) \sqrt{q_p}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) + \frac{1}{q_p} \sum_{y \in \Delta_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}_{p,y}) = \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) + O(\sqrt{q_p}).$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{B}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) + O(1)$. So, in order to estimate the absolute values of $\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A})$ it suffices to compute the previous codimensions. These codimensions are expressed in terms of the multiplicities of the conductor of an abelian variety X over a function field which we now recall.

Let \mathcal{Z} be a smooth projective curve defined over a perfect field l of characteristic $p \geq 0$, $\mathcal{L} = l(\mathcal{Z})$ its function field and X an abelian variety defined over \mathcal{L} . Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number, $X[\ell]$ the subgroup of ℓ -torsion points of X , $T_\ell(X)$ the ℓ -adic Tate module of X and $V_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Let \mathcal{L}^s be a separable closure of \mathcal{L} . For every $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ denote by $I_z \subset \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^s/\mathcal{L})$ the inertia subgroup corresponding to z (which is well defined up to conjugation).

Definition 3.2. The multiplicity of the conductor \mathfrak{F}_X of X at $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ is equal to a sum of two numbers, the tame multiplicity ϵ_z and the wild multiplicity δ_z of \mathfrak{F}_X in z . The first number is defined as $\epsilon_z := \text{codim}(V_\ell(X)^{I_z})$, where $V_\ell(X)^{I_z}$ denotes the subspace of $V_\ell(X)$ which is fixed by the action of I_z . Let $N(X)_z$ be the Néron model of X over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_z)$ and denote by $\mathcal{N}(X)_z^0$ the connected component of the special fiber $\mathcal{N}(X)_z$ of $N(X)_z$. Let κ_z be the residue field of z and $\overline{\kappa}_z$ its algebraic closure. Then $\mathcal{N}(X)_z^0 \times_{\kappa_z} \overline{\kappa}_z$ is an extension of an abelian variety Y_z by a linear algebraic group \mathbb{L}_z both defined over $\overline{\kappa}_z$. Let $a_z := \dim(Y_z)$. The linear algebraic group \mathbb{L}_z decomposes as $\mathbb{L}_z = \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\kappa}_z}^{t_z} \times \mathbb{G}_{a, \overline{\kappa}_z}^{u_z}$, where $\mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\kappa}_z}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{a, \overline{\kappa}_z}$ denote respectively the multiplicative and additive group scheme of $\overline{\kappa}_z$. The non-negative integers a_z , t_z and u_z are called the abelian, reductive and unipotent ranks of $\mathcal{N}(X)_z^0$, respectively. Then $\epsilon_z = 2u_z + t_z$. Recall that $\dim(X) = a_z + t_z + u_z$.

The second number is defined as follows. Let l_z/κ_z be a finite Galois extension such that $G_{l_z} := \text{Gal}(\overline{l}_z/l_z)$ acts trivially on $X[\ell]$. Let $G_z := \text{Gal}(l_z/\kappa_z)$, so $X[\ell]$

can be regarded as a G_z -module. Let P_z be the projective $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[G_z]$ -module whose character is the Swan character of G_z . Then $\delta_z := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell[G_z]}(P_z, X[\ell]))$ (cf. [14, §1]). This is a non-negative integer and is in fact independent from the choice of l_z .

Let $\mathcal{L}(X[\ell])$ be the finite extension of \mathcal{L} defined by adjoining the coordinates of the ℓ -torsion points of X . It follows from [22, Remark (2), p. 480] that $\delta_z = 0$ for every $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ if and only if the extension $\mathcal{L}(X[\ell])/\mathcal{L}$ is tamely ramified.

Remark 3.3. After adjoining possibly finitely many prime ideals \mathfrak{p} to S , we may assume that the conductor $\mathfrak{F}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the reduction of the conductor \mathfrak{F}_A of A modulo \mathfrak{p} . In particular, both conductors have the same degree. Moreover, since $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, there will be no contribution of the wild part of the conductor of $\mathfrak{F}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$.

In another direction, observe that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has the same genus as \mathcal{C} for any $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, after eventually extending S even further.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2))^{\mathrm{wt} \leq 0}) &= 2d(2g-2) + \sum_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \epsilon_y \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(1))^{\mathrm{wt} \leq 0}) &\leq d(2d-1)(2g-2) + (2d-1) \sum_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \epsilon_y. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First note that by Poincaré's duality and the fact that $H_c^2(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $H_c^2(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ we obtain $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^0(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^0(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. The injection $j_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ [8, 2.0.7] induces an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)_{\overline{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{I_y} \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)) \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, (j_{\mathfrak{p}})_* \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last term is a sheaf of pure weight 1 over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ [4, Theorem 3.3.1], we conclude that

$$H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2))^{\mathrm{wt} \leq 0} \cong \bigoplus_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)_{\overline{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{I_y}.$$

In a similar vein,

$$H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(1))^{\mathrm{wt} \leq 0} \cong \bigoplus_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\mathcal{F}_{b,\mathfrak{p}}(1)_{\overline{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{I_y}.$$

Since $(\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}})_{\overline{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong H^1(A)$, then for every $y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we have $\dim(\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)_{\overline{\eta}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{I_y}) = 2d - \epsilon_y$. Moreover, $\dim(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2))) = -\chi_c(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2)) = -\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2))\chi_c(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 2d(2g-2 + s_A)$, where χ_c denotes the Euler-Poincaré characteristic with respect to the cohomology with compact support, since for $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ there is no contribution from the Swan conductor of $\mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}$ (cf. Remark 3.3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{F}_{a,\mathfrak{p}}(1/2))^{\mathrm{wt} \leq 0}) &= 2d(2g-2) + \sum_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} (2d) - \sum_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} (2d - \epsilon_y) \\ &= 2d(2g-2) + \sum_{y \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \epsilon_y. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\mathcal{F}_{b,p})_{\overline{\eta}_p} \cong H^2(A) \cong \bigwedge^2 H^1(A)$. For every $y \in \Delta_p$ we have $\bigwedge^2(H^1(A)^{I_y}) \subset (\bigwedge^2 H^1(A))^{I_y}$. Hence, $\dim(\mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1)_{\overline{\eta}_p}^{I_y}) \geq (2d - \epsilon_y)(2d - \epsilon_y - 1)/2$. Furthermore there is no contribution from the Swan conductor of $\mathcal{F}_{b,p}$. Thus, $\dim(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))) = -\chi_c(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1)) = -\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))\chi_c(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = d(2d - 1)(2g - 2 + s_A)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{codim}(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))^{\text{wt} \leq 0}) \\ & \leq d(2d - 1)(2g - 2) + \sum_{y \in \Delta_p} d(2d - 1) - \sum_{y \in \Delta_p} (d - \epsilon_y/2)(2d - \epsilon_y - 1) \\ & \leq d(2d - 1)(2g - 2) + (2d - 1/2) \sum_{y \in \Delta_p} \epsilon_y - (1/2) \sum_{y \in \Delta_p} \epsilon_y^2 \\ & \leq d(2d - 1)(2g - 2) + (2d - 1) \sum_{y \in \Delta_p} \epsilon_y. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.5.

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A})| & \leq 2d(2g - 2) + f_A + O(1/\sqrt{q_p}) \\ |\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A})| & \leq (d(2d - 1)(2g - 2) + (2d - 1)f_A) \sqrt{q_p} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.4, (3.4), (3.5) and the fact that

$$\sum_{y \in \Delta_p} \epsilon_y = f_{A_p} = f_A$$

(cf. Remark 3.3). □

Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.5 in fact improves not only the trivial estimates on the absolute values of $\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A})$ of Remark 3.1, but also upper bounds obtained by means of Deligne's equidistribution theorem (cf. [4, Théorème 3.5.3] or [8, (3.6.3)]). Indeed, from the latter theorem follows the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} \text{Tr}(F_{p,y} | \mathcal{F}_a(1/2)) \right| & \leq \dim(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{a,p}(1/2))) \sqrt{q_p} \\ & \leq 2d(2g - 2 + s_A) \sqrt{q_p} \\ \left| \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} \text{Tr}(F_{p,y} | \mathcal{F}_b(1)) \right| & \leq \dim(H_c^1(\mathcal{U}_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{F}_{b,p}(1))) \sqrt{q_p} \\ & \leq d(2d - 1)(2g - 2 + s_A) \sqrt{q_p}. \end{aligned}$$

A fortiori,

$$(3.6) \quad |\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A})| \leq 2d(2g - 2 + f_A) + O(1/\sqrt{q_p})$$

$$(3.7) \quad |\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A})| \leq d(2d - 1)(2g - 2 + f_A) \sqrt{q_p} + O(1).$$

Hence both bounds are improved by Theorem 3.5.

The geometric bound (1.3) involves the degree $f_{A'}$ of the conductor $\mathfrak{F}_{A'}$ of A' . The bound of Theorem 1.3 is obtained in terms of the degree f_A of the conductor \mathfrak{F}_A of A . Although we will not need the following inequality in general, only in the unramified case, it holds in general.

Proposition 3.7. $f_{A'} \leq \#\mathcal{G}f_A$. Equality holds if π is unramified.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for each $y' \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $\pi(y') = y \in \mathcal{C}$ we have the inequality $\epsilon_{y'} \leq \epsilon_y$. Indeed,

$$f_{A'} = \sum_{y'} \deg(y') \epsilon_{y'} \leq \sum_y \left(\sum_{y'|y} f(y'|y) \right) \epsilon_y \deg(y) \leq \#\mathcal{G}f_A,$$

where $f(y'|y)$ denotes the inertia degree of y' over y .

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer invertible in the local ring \mathcal{O}_y of y . The base change morphism $N(A \times_K K_y) \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_y)} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{y'}) \rightarrow N(A' \times_{K'} K'_{y'})$ induces an injective (homo)morphism at the level of torsion subgroups $N(A \times_K K_y)_{\text{tor}} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_y)} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{y'}) \rightarrow N(A' \times_{K'} K'_{y'})_{\text{tor}}$, regarding them as products of group schemes of type (p, \dots, p) . In particular, this map is also injective when restricted to n -torsion. Hence it induces, at the level of the connected components of the special fibers, an injection $\mathcal{N}(A \times_K K_y)_n^0 \times_{\text{Spec}(\kappa_y)} \text{Spec}(\bar{\kappa}_{y'}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2a_y+t_y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(A' \times_{K'} K'_{y'})_n^0 \times_{\text{Spec}(\kappa_{y'})} \text{Spec}(\bar{\kappa}_{y'}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2a_{y'}+t_{y'}}$, i.e., $2a_y + t_y \leq 2a_{y'} + t_{y'}$. This latter inequality is equivalent to $\epsilon_{y'} \leq \epsilon_y$.

If π is unramified, then the base change morphism is already an isomorphism (cf. [3, Chapter 7, Theorem 1, p. 176]), in particular it also induces an isomorphism at the level of the connected component of the special fiber, a fortiori $\epsilon_{y'} = \epsilon_y$. \square

4. ESTIMATES AFTER BASE CHANGE

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the reduction of π modulo \mathfrak{p} , $y' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $y = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(y') \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then $a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{p},y'}) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$ and $b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{p},y'}) = b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y})$.*

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that $\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{p},y'} \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}$, once y and y' are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

After adding finitely many prime ideals to S , we may also assume that for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also finite geometric abelian with with geometric automorphism group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \text{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ isomorphic to \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a subgroup of \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{C}'' := \mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{H}$ the intermediate curve $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $\text{Aut}_{\bar{k}}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{C}'') = \mathcal{H}$ and $\pi' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}''$ the first covering. Since \mathcal{G} is abelian, the cover $\pi'' : \mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is also geometrically Galois. Since \mathcal{G} is finite, there exists a finite extension L of k such that all elements of \mathcal{G} are defined over L . So G_k acts on \mathcal{G} through the finite quotient $\text{Gal}(L/k)$. Hence all the intermediate curves \mathcal{C}'' are defined over L . If $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ acts on \mathcal{H} , then \mathcal{C}'' is also defined over k .

Let D be the reduced ramification divisor of $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the reduced ramification divisor of $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. After extending S , if necessary, we may assume that $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the reduction of D modulo \mathfrak{p} . In particular,

$$(4.1) \quad \#\text{supp}(D_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \#\text{supp}(D) \leq \deg(D).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \text{supp}(D_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The next proposition is proved exactly as in [25, Proposition 11].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ the subgroup defined by $\mathcal{H} := \{F_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \circ x^{-1}; x \in \mathcal{G}\}$.*

- (1) The group \mathcal{H} is defined over \mathbb{F}_p , hence the curve $\mathcal{C}'' := \mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{H}$ is also defined over \mathbb{F}_p .
 Denote $\mathcal{U}'_p := \pi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_p)$, $\mathcal{R}'_p := \pi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_p)$ and $\mathcal{V}'_p := \pi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p) = \mathcal{U}'_p - \mathcal{R}'_p$.
 Denote similarly \mathcal{U}''_p , \mathcal{R}''_p and \mathcal{V}''_p with respect to the map π''_p .
- (2) The image of $\mathcal{V}'_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ coincides with the image of $\mathcal{V}''_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$.
- (3) For every $y \in \mathcal{V}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $y = \pi_p(y')$ with $y' \in \mathcal{V}'_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ there exist exactly $\#\mathbb{G}_p$ points in $\mathcal{V}'_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ lying over y .
- (4) For every $y \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $y = \pi''_p(y'')$ with $y'' \in \mathcal{V}''_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ there exist exactly $(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})$ points of $\mathcal{V}''_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ lying over y .

Proposition 4.3. (cf. [25, Proposition 12])

$$|\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}')| \leq \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_p}{\#\mathcal{G}}(2d(2g' - 2)) + \#\mathbb{G}_p f_A + O(1/\sqrt{q_p})$$

$$|\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A}')| \leq \sqrt{q_p} \left(\frac{\#\mathbb{G}_p}{\#\mathcal{G}} d(2d - 1)(2g' - 2) + \#\mathbb{G}_p(2d - 1)f_A \right) + O(1).$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{q_p} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{V}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}_{p,y}).$$

So, by Weil's theorem and (4.1),

$$|\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) - \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A})| = \frac{1}{q_p} \left| \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}_{p,y}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_p} 2d\sqrt{q_p} \#\text{supp}(D_p)$$

$$\leq 2d(1/\sqrt{q_p}) \deg(D) = O(1/\sqrt{q_p}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}) + O(1/\sqrt{q_p})$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}) + O(1/\sqrt{q_p})$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}') := \frac{1}{q_p} \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{U}'_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}'_{p,y'}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}') := \frac{1}{q_p} \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{V}'_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}'_{p,y'}).$$

Note that $\#\mathcal{R}'_p \leq \deg(\pi)\#\mathcal{R}_p \leq \deg(\pi)\deg(D)$. As above,

$$|\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}') - \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}')| = \frac{1}{q_p} \left| \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{R}'_p(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}'_{p,y'}) \right|$$

$$\leq 2d(1/\sqrt{q_p}) \deg(D) \deg(\pi) = O(1/\sqrt{q_p}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}') = \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}') + O(1/\sqrt{q_p})$, then $\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}') = \mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}') + O(1/\sqrt{q_p})$. Define similarly $\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}'')$ and $\mathfrak{A}_p^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}'')$.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}') &= \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{V}'_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{p},y'}) \\
&= \#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{y \in \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{V}'_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}))} a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) = \#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{y \in \pi''_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{V}''_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}))} a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}) \\
&= \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})} \sum_{y'' \in \mathcal{V}''_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} a_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}''_{\mathfrak{p},y''}) = \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})} q_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}'').
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}')| &= \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})} |\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}'')| \leq \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})} (2d(2g'' - 2) + f_{A''}) + O(1/\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \\
&\leq \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\#\mathcal{G}} 2d(2g' - 2) + \#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} f_A + O(1/\sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter inequality follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to $\pi' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}''$ and Proposition 3.7. Note that if π is unramified, then the latter inequality is actually an equality. Whence the same inequality holds for $|\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}')|$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})} b_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},y}).$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}) + O(1)$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}') = (\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}'')$ and therefore (again by Theorem 3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}')| &= \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})} |\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{sm,ur}}(\mathcal{A}'')| \\
&\leq \frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{(\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H})} \sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}} (d(2d-1)(2g'' - 2) + (2d-1)f_{A''}) + O(1) \\
&\leq \sqrt{q_{\mathfrak{p}}} \left(\frac{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\#\mathcal{G}} d(2d-1)(2g' - 2) + \#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} (2d-1)f_A \right) + O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where again in the latter inequality we used the Riemann-Hurwitz formula and Proposition 3.7. Whence the same inequality holds for $|\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}')|$. \square

Remark 4.4 (Towards Galois covers). The reason why we need to introduce the intermediate curve \mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{H} in order to obtain an estimate for $|\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A}')|$, and so have to suppose that the cover $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is geometrically abelian instead of geometrically Galois, is that there may be points in $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ lying over an $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -rational point of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which are not $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -rational. This is related to curves which are twistings of $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We now introduce those curves.

For each $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ there exists a smooth projective irreducible curve $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p},\sigma}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ whose function field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p},\sigma})$ equals the subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}^m}(\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}})$ which is fixed by $\sigma F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}^m}$ denotes the extension of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of degree m . Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p},\text{id}} = \mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We have the well-known Twisting Lemma [2]

$$\#\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \frac{1}{\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \#\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p},\sigma}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

More precisely, for each $y \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ we have $\#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ points in the curves $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p},\sigma}$ that are rational over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and lie over y . Indeed, given $y' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $y' \mapsto y$, then

there exists $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_p$ such that $F_p y' = \sigma^{-1} y'$, i.e., $y' \in \mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Note that $\sigma' \in \mathbb{G}_p$ satisfies $(\sigma')^{-1} y' = \sigma^{-1} y'$ if and only if $\sigma(\sigma')^{-1}$ lies in the decomposition group of y' . Let n_y be the number of elements in the orbit of y' with respect to \mathbb{G}_p . So, the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of the curves $\mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}$ which lie above y is equal to n_y times $\#\mathbb{G}_p/n_y$, i.e., $\#\mathbb{G}_p$.

Each twisting $\mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}$ comes equipped with a Galois cover $\pi_{p,\sigma} : \mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p$ defined over \mathbb{F}_p with group \mathbb{G}_p . Let $\mathcal{A}'_{p,\sigma} := \mathcal{A}_p \times_{\mathcal{C}_p} \mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_{p,\sigma}(\mathcal{A}') := \frac{1}{q_p} \sum_{y'_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{F}_p)} a_p(\mathcal{A}'_{p,\sigma,y'_\sigma}).$$

We apply Lemma 4.1 to the twistings $\mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}$ of \mathcal{C}'_p and obtain (from the previous discussion on the twisting lemma)

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_p} \mathfrak{A}_{p,\sigma}(\mathcal{A}') = \#\mathbb{G}_p \mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 2.1 implies an upper bound of the rank of $A(K)/\tau B(k)$ in terms of the local data given by the average traces of Frobenii $\mathfrak{A}_p^*(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A})$. The characteristic p information $\mathfrak{A}_{p,\sigma}(\mathcal{A}')$, if $\sigma \neq \text{id}$, does not come in the expression of an upper bound for the rank of $A(K'_\sigma)/\tau'_\sigma B'_\sigma(k)$ in the same way, where $K'_\sigma = k(\mathcal{C}'_\sigma)$, \mathcal{C}'_σ is a twisting of \mathcal{C}' defined over k and $(\tau'_\sigma, B'_\sigma)$ is the K'_σ/k -trace of A . One reason for this is that as p varies so does σ . Hence, even under the identification of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}_p for $p \notin S$, there is no “general” $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ that would give a twist \mathcal{C}'_σ of \mathcal{C}' defined over k that would reduce modulo all prime ideals $p \notin S$ to $\mathcal{C}'_{p,\sigma}$. If that were true then the inequality

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \leq \sum_{\sigma} \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K'_\sigma)}{\tau'_\sigma B'_\sigma(k)} \right)$$

together with (4.2) would give a proof of our result for geometrically Galois covers $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (cf. §5).

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) &\leq \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) + \text{rank}(\text{NS}(A/K)) \\ &= \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{p \notin S} -\mathfrak{A}_p^*(\mathcal{A}') \frac{\log(q_p)}{q_p^s} \right) + \text{Res}_{s=2} \left(\sum_{p \notin S} \mathfrak{B}_p(\mathcal{A}') \frac{\log(q_p)}{q_p^s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|\mathfrak{A}_p^*(\mathcal{A}')| \leq |\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}')| + |a_p(B')| \leq |\mathfrak{A}_p(\mathcal{A}')| + 2 \dim(B') \sqrt{q_p}.$$

So, by Proposition 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.1) \quad & \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \leq \left(\frac{2d(2g' - 2)}{\#\mathcal{G}} + f_A \right) \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) \\
& + O \left(\text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^{s+1/2}} \right) \right) + 2 \dim(B') \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^{s-1/2}} \right) \\
& + \left(\frac{d(2d-1)(2g' - 2)}{\#\mathcal{G}} + (2d-1)f_A \right) \text{Res}_{s=2} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \#\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) \\
& + O \left(\text{Res}_{s=2} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The third series converges for $\Re(s) > 1/2$, thus the corresponding residue equals 0. The fourth series converges for $\Re(s) > 3/2$ and can be extended meromorphically to the whole plane with just a simple pole at $s = 3/2$. Hence, the fourth residue also equals 0. The last residue is also equal to 0, since the series converges for $\Re(s) > 1$.

As we have already observed, we have fixed a finite Galois extension L of k such that G_k acts on \mathcal{G} via $\text{Gal}(L/k)$. Moreover, for each σ in the \mathfrak{p} -th Frobenius conjugacy class $(\mathfrak{p}, L/k)$, the group $\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ corresponds bijectively to the elements of $\{x \in \mathcal{G} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$. We denote the cardinality of this set by $h^0(\sigma, \mathcal{G})$. By (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.2) \quad & \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K')}{\tau' B'(k)} \right) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{2d(2g' - 2)}{\#\mathcal{G}} + f_A \right) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} h^0(\sigma, \mathcal{G}) \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum'_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^s} \right) \right) \\
& + \left(\frac{d(2d-1)(2g' - 2)}{\#\mathcal{G}} + (2d-1)f_A \right) \times \\
& \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} h^0(\sigma, \mathcal{G}) \text{Res}_{s=2} \left(\sum'_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\log(q_{\mathfrak{p}})}{q_{\mathfrak{p}}^{s-1/2}} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sum'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the sum over all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ such that $\sigma \in (\mathfrak{p}, L/k)$. It follows from [9, Corollary of Theorem 8, §4, Chapter VIII] (cf. also the proof of [24, Proposition 4]) that all two residues are equal to $1/\#\text{Gal}(L/k)$. The result now follows from [25, Lemma 9].

The second statement follows from the next remark. \square

Remark 5.1. In the case A is the Jacobian variety of the generic fiber of a proper flat morphism $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of relative dimension 1, where \mathcal{X} is a smooth projective irreducible surface defined over k , then Conjecture M_{an} (Theorem 2.1) reduces to the generalized Nagao conjecture (2.1) [6, Remarque 3.4]. Hence we do not need to consider the estimates on $|\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A})|$ and the hypothesis of the irreducibility of ρ_b is no longer necessary. So, (5.2) implies (1.5), hence (1.6).

6. THE RANK IN SPECIAL TOWERS

In this paragraph we apply Theorem 1.3 to the special tower described in the Introduction.

Theorem 6.1 (Serre, [16], Théorème 3'). *Let \mathcal{C}/k be an elliptic curve defined over a number field k without complex multiplication. There is an integer $I(\mathcal{C}, k)$ depending only on \mathcal{C} and k so that for every integer $n \geq 1$ the image of the Galois representation $\rho_{\mathcal{C}, n} : G_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}[n]) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ has index at most $I(\mathcal{C}, k)$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}[n])$.*

The same proof as in [25, Theorem 16] yields the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication defined over a number field k . Suppose that $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$ and π is the multiplication by n map $[n] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_n := \mathcal{C}/[n]$, $K_n := k(\mathcal{C}_n)$ its function field, (τ_n, B_n) the K_n/k -trace of A and $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}_n$. Assume that Tate's conjecture is true for \mathcal{A}_n/k and the monodromy representations ρ_a and ρ_b are irreducible. Then*

- (1) *For every integer $n \geq 1$:*

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \leq I(\mathcal{C}/k) \frac{d(n)}{n^2} 2df_{A_n},$$

if $d \geq 2$, where $d(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of n . If $d = 1$, we may replace $2d$ by 1.

- (2) *The sum*

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\log(f_{A_n})} \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right)$$

is bounded as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the average rank of $A(K_n)/\tau_n B_n(k)$ is smaller than a fixed multiple of the logarithmic of the degree f_A of the conductor \mathfrak{F}_A of A .

- (3) *There exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(k, \mathcal{C}, A)$ so that for sufficiently large n we have*

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \leq f_{A_n}^{\kappa / \log(\log(f_{A_n}))}.$$

In particular, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \ll f_{A_n}^{\epsilon},$$

where the implied constant depends on k, \mathcal{C}, A and ϵ , but not on n .

We now consider the situation where \mathcal{C} has genus at least 2 and \mathcal{C}' is the pull-back of \mathcal{C} under the multiplication by n map $[n] : J_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the Jacobian variety of \mathcal{C} and π is the corresponding unramified geometrically abelian cover $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. In this case, $\mathcal{G} = J_{\mathcal{C}}[n]$. In order to state our result we make a short digression on the Mumford-Tate group and the Mumford-Tate conjecture in the case of abelian varieties.

Let X be an abelian variety defined over a number field k of dimension $d \geq 1$. Let ℓ be a prime number, $X[\ell]$ the subgroup of ℓ -torsion points of X , $T_{\ell}(X)$ the ℓ -adic Tate group of X and $V_{\ell}(X) := T_{\ell}(X) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$. Let $X^{\vee} := \text{Pic}^0(X)$ be the dual abelian variety of X . After eventually extending k and making an isogeny, we may assume that we have a degree 1 polarization $\lambda : X \rightarrow X^{\vee}$. Let $n \geq 1$ be

an integer, μ_{ℓ^n} the group of ℓ^n -th roots of unity in \mathbb{C} and $\mu_{\ell^\infty} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mu_{\ell^n}$. The polarization λ yields non-degenerate alternating (Weil) pairings $X[\ell] \times X[\ell] \rightarrow \mu_\ell$ and $T_\ell(X) \times T_\ell(X) \rightarrow \mu_{\ell^\infty}$. The natural action of G_k on $X[\ell]$, hence on $T_\ell(X)$, and λ induce Galois representations $\rho_\ell : G_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X[\ell]) \cong \text{GSp}_{2d}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $\rho_{\ell^\infty} : G_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_\ell(X)) \cong \text{GSp}_{2d}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Let $G_{k,\ell^\infty} := \rho_{\ell^\infty}(G_k)$ and $G_{k,\ell} := \rho_\ell(G_k)$. Let

$$\rho_\infty := \prod_{\ell} \rho_{\ell^\infty} : G_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_\ell(X)) \cong \prod_{\ell} \text{GSp}_{2d}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Serre proves in [20, Théorème 1'], [17], [18] that if k is large enough, depending on X , then $\rho_\infty(G_k)$ is an open subgroup of $\prod_{\ell} G_{k,\ell^\infty}$.

Fix an isomorphism $V_\ell(X) \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2d}$. Let $\underline{\text{GL}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ be the linear algebraic group defined in dimension $2d$ over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . Denote by $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}$ the Zariski closure of G_{k,ℓ^∞} on $\underline{\text{GL}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ and $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}^0$ its identity component.

The Mumford-Tate group $\text{MT}(X)$ of X is defined as follows. Fix an embedding $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $V := H^1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the first singular cohomology group of X with rational coefficients. A complex structure on $V_{\mathbb{R}} := H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map $J : H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $J^2 = -I$, where I denotes the identity. Let $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. To give J is equivalent to giving a representation of real algebraic groups $h : S^1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ defined by $a + ib \mapsto aI + bJ$. Fix an isomorphism $V \cong \mathbb{Q}^{2d}$. The group $\text{MT}(X)$ is defined as the smallest algebraic subgroup G of $\underline{\text{GL}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}}$ defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $h(S^1) \subset G(\mathbb{R})$.

The Mumford-Tate conjecture states that $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}^0 = \text{MT}(X)_\ell := \text{MT}(X) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. R. Pink proved in [15, Theorem 5.4] under the hypothesis that $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ and numerical conditions on $d = \dim(X)$ that both groups are equal to $\underline{\text{GSp}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. Since A has a degree 1 polarization λ , $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\underline{\text{GSp}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. So in the latter circumstance $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty} = \underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}^0 = \underline{\text{GSp}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. Serre had previously proved this theorem under the assumptions that $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, d is odd or 2 or 6 [20, Théorème 3]. For other cases where the Mumford-Tate is known see [15].

Bogomolov's algebraicity theorem states that G_{k,ℓ^∞} has finite index in the subgroup $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -rational points of $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}$ [21, 2.2.1]. In particular, if $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty} = \underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}^0 = \underline{\text{GSp}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, then

- (a) G_{k,ℓ^∞} is an open subgroup of the subgroup $\text{GSp}_{2d}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -rational points of $\underline{\text{GSp}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$.

Furthermore, it is also known that the rank r of $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}$ does not depend on ℓ [21, 2.2.4] and that if $r = d + 1$ and $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty} = \underline{G}_{k,\ell^\infty}^0 = \underline{\text{GSp}}_{2d,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ [21, 2.2.7] and

- (b) for almost all ℓ , $G_{k,\ell}$ coincides with $\text{GSp}_{2d}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ [18, Théorème 3] which is equal to the reduction $\text{MT}(X)(\ell)$ of $\text{MT}(X)_\ell$ modulo ℓ .

So in the same way as in [16, Introduction] (a) and (b) imply the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let X/k be an abelian variety defined over a number field. Suppose that $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $r = d + 1$, then there exists an integer $I(X, k)$ depending only on X and k such that the image of the Galois representation $\rho_{X,n} : G_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X[n]) \cong \text{GSp}_{2d}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ has index at most $I(X, k)$ in $\text{Aut}(X[n])$.*

Remark 6.4. As observed by Serre the previous condition on r is satisfied if d is odd or 2 or 6 [21, 2.2.8].

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}_n := \mathcal{C}'$ be the pull-back of \mathcal{C} under the multiplication by n map $[n] : J_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the Jacobian variety of \mathcal{C} and π the corresponding unramified geometrically abelian cover $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Let $K_n := k(\mathcal{C}_n)$ be the function field of \mathcal{C}_n , $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}_n$, \mathcal{A}_n/K_n the generic fiber of $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$, (τ_n, B_n) the K_n/k -trace of A , g , resp. g_n , the genus of \mathcal{C} , resp. \mathcal{C}_n . Let $\underline{G}_{k, \ell^\infty}$ be the Zariski closure of the image of $\rho_{\ell^\infty} : G_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_\ell(J_{\mathcal{C}})) \cong \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Assume that Tate's conjecture is true for \mathcal{A}_n/k , the monodromy representations ρ_a and ρ_b are irreducible, $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(J_{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the rank r of $\underline{G}_{k, \ell^\infty}$ is equal to $g + 1$. Then*

(1) *For every $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \leq I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) \frac{d(n)}{n^{2g}} (d(2d+1)(2g_n-2) + 2df_{A_n}).$$

If $d = 1$, we may replace $d(2d+1)$ by $2d$ in the first summand of the latter expression and $2d$ by 1 in the second summand.

(2) *The sum*

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\log(f_{A_n})} \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right)$$

is bounded as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the average rank of $A(K_n)/\tau_n B_n(k)$ is smaller than a fixed multiple of the logarithm of the degree f_A of the conductor \mathfrak{F}_A of A .

(3) *There exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(k, J_{\mathcal{C}}, A)$ so that for sufficiently large n we have*

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \leq f_{A_n}^{\kappa / \log \log(f_{A_n})}.$$

In particular, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \ll f_{A_n}^\epsilon,$$

where the implied constant depends only on $k, J_{\mathcal{C}}, A$ and ϵ , but not on n .

Proof. By Theorem 6.3 and [25, Lemma 10]

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(J_{\mathcal{C}}[n]) &\leq I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) \#\mathfrak{D}_{\text{Aut}(J_{\mathcal{C}}[n])}(J_{\mathcal{C}}[n]) \\ &= I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) \#\mathfrak{D}_{\text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}((\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}). \end{aligned}$$

The same proof as in [25, Proposition 15] replacing the statement that every non zero vector in a finite dimensional vector space can be extended to a basis of the vector space by Witt's theorem [10, Chapter XIV, Theorem 2, p. 360] shows that the number of orbits of the action of $\text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ is also equal to $d(n)$. Hence, by (6.2),

$$(6.3) \quad \#\mathfrak{D}_{G_k}(J_{\mathcal{C}}[n]) \leq I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) d(n).$$

Item (1) now follows from Theorem 1.3 and (6.3).

Notice that it follows from [1, Theorem 3.3] that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n) \sim x \log(x).$$

Therefore,

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{1}{x} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{d(n)}{\log(n)}$$

is bounded for every $x \geq 2$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.7, $f_{A_n} = n^{2g} f_A$, and by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, since π is unramified, $2g_n - 2 = n^{2g}(2g - 2)$. Thus, by (1),

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) \leq I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) d(n) (d(2d + 1)(2g - 2) + 2df_A)$$

and item (2) follows from (6.4).

By [1, Theorem 13.12]

$$(6.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(d(n))}{\log(n)/\log \log(n)} = \log(2).$$

In particular, by (1), there exist absolute constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \left(\frac{A(K_n)}{\tau_n B_n(k)} \right) &\leq c_1 I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) (d(2d + 1)(2g - 2) + 2df_A) n^{c_2/\log \log(n)} \\ &\leq c_3 I(J_{\mathcal{C}}, k) (d(2d + 1)(2g - 2) + 2df_A) f_{A_n}^{c_4/\log \log(f_{A_n})}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves item (3). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] E. Bombieri, *Hilbert's 8-th problem : an analogue*, in Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert's problems, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. (Amer. Math. Soc.) **28**, 269-274.
- [3] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [4] P. Deligne, *Conjectures de Weil II*, Pub. Math. IHES **52** (1981), 313-428.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [6] M. Hindry, A. Pacheco, R. Wazir, *Fibrations et Conjectures de Tate*, J. Number Theory, **112** (2005), 345-368.
- [7] N. Katz, *Monodromy of families of curves*, in Sémin. Th. Nombres Paris 1979-80, pp. 64-84, Birkhäuser, 1981.
- [8] N. Katz, *Gauss Sums, Kloosterman Sums and Monodromy Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton University Press, 1988.
- [9] S. Lang, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Addison Wesley, 1970.
- [10] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1965.
- [11] P. Michel, *Le rang de familles de variétés abéliennes*, J. Alg. Geom **6** (1997), 201-234.
- [12] J. S. Milne, *Etale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980.
- [13] A. P. Ogg, *Cohomology of abelian varieties over function fields*, Annals of Math. **76** (1962), 185-212.
- [14] A. P. Ogg, *Elliptic curves and wild ramification*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 1-21.
- [15] R. Pink, *ℓ -adic monodromy groups, cocharacters and the Mumford-Tate conjecture*, J. reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle) **495** (1998), 187-237.
- [16] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 259-331.
- [17] J.-P. Serre, *Lettre à Ken Ribet*, 1986, Œuvres, Collected Papers IV, 56-65.
- [18] J.-P. Serre, *Lettre à Marie-France Vignéras*, 1986, Œuvres, Collected Papers IV, 38-55.
- [19] J.-P. Seere, *Abelian ℓ -adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [20] J.-P. Serre, *Résumé des cours, Collège de France, 1985/86*, Œuvres, Collected Papers IV, 33-37.
- [21] J.-P. Serre, *Résumé des cours, Collège de France, 1984/85*, Œuvres, Collected Papers IV, 27-32.
- [22] J.-P. Serre, J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 492-517.

- [23] T. Shioda, *Some remarks on elliptic curves over function fields*, Astérisque **209** (1992), 99-114.
- [24] J. Silverman, *A bound for the Mordell-Weil rank of an elliptic curve after a cyclic base extension*, J. Alg. Geom. **9** (2000), 301-308.
- [25] J. Silverman, *The rank of elliptic surfaces in unramified abelian towers over number fields*, J. reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle) **577** (2004), 153-169.
- [26] J. Tate, *Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta-functions*, Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry, Harper and Row, New York, 93-110 (1965).

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO (UNIVERSIDADE DO BRASIL), DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA, RUA GUAIAQUIL 83, CACHAMBI, 20785-050 RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRASIL
E-mail address: `amilcar@acd.ufrj.br`